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Preamble

The medical profession should play a central role in evaluating the evidence related to drugs, devices, and procedures for the detection, management, and prevention of disease. When properly applied, expert analysis of available data on the benefits and risks of these therapies and procedures can improve the quality of care, optimize patient outcomes, and favorably affect costs by focusing resources on the most effective strategies. An organized and directed approach to a thorough review of evidence has resulted in the production of clinical practice guidelines that assist physicians in selecting the best management strategy for an individual patient. Moreover, clinical practice guidelines can provide a foundation for other applications, such as performance measures, appropriate use criteria, and both quality improvement and clinical decision support tools.
The American College of Cardiology Foundation (ACCF) and the American Heart Association (AHA) have jointly produced guidelines in the area of cardiovascular disease since 1980. The ACCF/AHA Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Task Force), charged with developing, updating, and revising practice guidelines for cardiovascular diseases and procedures, directs and oversees this effort. Writing committees are charged with regularly reviewing and evaluating all available evidence to develop balanced, patient-centric recommendations for clinical practice.

Experts in the subject under consideration are selected by the ACCF and AHA to examine subject-specific data and write guidelines in partnership with representatives from other medical organizations and specialty groups. Writing committees are asked to perform a literature review; weigh the strength of evidence for or against particular tests, treatments, or procedures; and include estimates of expected outcomes where such data exist. Patient-specific modifiers, comorbidities, and issues of patient preference that may influence the choice of tests or therapies are considered.

When available, information from studies on cost is considered, but data on efficacy and outcomes constitute the primary basis for the recommendations contained herein.

In analyzing the data and developing recommendations and supporting text, the writing committee uses evidence-based methodologies developed by the Task Force (1). The Class of Recommendation (COR) is an estimate of the size of the treatment effect considering risks versus benefits in addition to evidence and/or agreement that a given treatment or procedure is or is not useful/effective or in some situations may cause harm. The Level of Evidence (LOE) is an estimate of the certainty or precision of the treatment effect. The writing committee reviews and ranks evidence supporting each recommendation with the weight of evidence ranked as LOE A, B, or C according to specific definitions that are included in Table 1. Studies are identified as observational, retrospective, prospective, or randomized where appropriate. For certain conditions for which inadequate data are available, recommendations are based on expert consensus and clinical experience and are ranked as LOE C. When recommendations at LOE C are supported by historical clinical data, appropriate references (including clinical reviews) are cited if available. For issues for which sparse data are available, a survey of current practice among the clinician members of the writing committee is the basis for LOE C recommendations and no references are cited. The schema for COR and LOE is summarized in Table 1, which also provides suggested phrases for writing recommendations within each COR.

A new addition to this methodology is separation of the Class III recommendations to delineate whether the recommendation is determined to be of “no benefit” or is associated with “harm” to the patient. In addition, in view of the increasing number of comparative effectiveness studies, comparator verbs and suggested phrases for writing recommendations for the comparative effectiveness of one treatment or strategy versus another are included for COR I and IIa, LOE A or B only.

In view of the advances in medical therapy across the spectrum of cardiovascular diseases, the Task Force has designated the term guideline-directed medical therapy (GDMT) to represent optimal medical therapy as defined by ACCF/AHA guideline-recommended therapies (primarily Class I). This new term, GDMT, will be used throughout subsequent guidelines.

Because the ACCF/AHA practice guidelines address patient populations (and healthcare providers) residing in North America, drugs that are not currently available in North America are discussed in the text without a specific COR. For studies performed in large numbers of subjects outside North America, each writing committee reviews the potential influence of different practice patterns and patient populations on the treatment effect and relevance to the ACCF/AHA target population to determine whether the findings should inform a specific recommendation.

The ACCF/AHA practice guidelines are intended to assist healthcare providers in clinical decision making by describing a range of generally acceptable approaches to the diagnosis, management, and prevention of specific diseases or conditions. The guidelines attempt to define practices that meet the needs of most patients in most circumstances. The ultimate judgment regarding care of a particular patient must be made by the healthcare provider and patient in light of all the circumstances presented by that patient. As a result, situations may arise for which deviations from these guidelines may be appropriate.

Clinical decision making should involve consideration of the quality and availability of expertise in the area where care is provided. When these guidelines are used as the basis for regulatory or payer decisions, the goal should be improvement in quality of care. The Task Force recognizes that situations arise in which additional data are needed to inform patient care more effectively; these areas are identified within each respective guideline when appropriate.

Prescribed courses of treatment in accordance with these recommendations are effective only if followed. Because lack of patient understanding and adherence may adversely affect outcomes, physicians and other healthcare providers should make every effort to engage the patient’s active participation in prescribed medical regimens and lifestyles. In addition, patients should be informed of the risks, benefits, and alternatives to a particular treatment and should be involved in shared decision making whenever feasible, particularly for COR Ia and IIb, for which the benefit-to-risk ratio may be lower.

The Task Force makes every effort to avoid actual, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest that may arise as a result of relationships with industry and other entities (RWI) among the members of the writing committee. All writing committee members and peer reviewers of the guideline are required to disclose all current healthcare related relationships, including those existing 12 months before initiation of the writing effort. In December 2009, the ACCF and AHA implemented a new RWI policy that requires the writing committee chair plus a minimum of 50% of the writing committee to have no relevant RWI. (Appendix I includes the ACCF/AHA definition of relevance.) These statements are reviewed by the Task Force and all members during each conference call and/or meeting of the writing committee, and members provide updates as changes occur. All guideline recommendations require a confidential vote by the writing committee and must be approved by a consensus of the voting members. Members may not draft or vote on any text or recommendations pertaining to their RWI. Members who recused themselves from voting are indicated in the list of writing committee.
In an effort to maintain relevance at the point of care for practicing physicians, the Task Force continues to oversee an ongoing process improvement initiative. As a result, in response to pilot projects, several changes to these guidelines will be apparent, including limited narrative text, a focus on summary and evidence tables (with references linked to abstracts in PubMed), and more liberal use of summary recommendation tables (with references that support LOE) to serve as a quick reference.

In April 2011, the Institute of Medicine released 2 reports: Finding What Works in Health Care: Standards for Systematic Reviews and Clinical Practice Guidelines We Can Trust (2,3). It is noteworthy that the IOM cited ACCF/AHA practice guidelines as being compliant with many of the proposed standards. A thorough review of these reports and of our current methodology is under way, with further enhancements anticipated.
The recommendations in this guideline are considered current until they are superseded by a focused update or the full-text guideline is revised. The reader is encouraged to consult the full-text guideline (4) for additional guidance and details about the care of the patient with ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI), because the Executive Summary contains only the recommendations. Guidelines are official policy of both the ACCF and AHA.

Jeffrey L. Anderson, MD, FACC, FAHA  
Chair, ACCF/AHA Task Force on Practice Guidelines

1. Introduction

1.1. Methodology and Evidence Review

The recommendations listed in this document are, whenever possible, evidence based. The current document constitutes a full revision and includes an extensive evidence review which was conducted through November 2010, with additional selected references added through August 2012. Searches were limited to studies conducted in human subjects and reviews and other evidence pertaining to human subjects; all were published in English. Key search words included but were not limited to: acute coronary syndromes, percutaneous coronary intervention, coronary artery bypass graft, myocardial infarction, ST-elevation myocardial infarction, coronary stent, revascularization, anticoagulant therapy, antiplatelet therapy, antithrombotic therapy, glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor therapy, pharmacotherapy, proton-pump inhibitor, implantable cardioverter-defibrillator therapy, cardiogenic shock, fibrinolytic therapy, thrombolytic therapy, nitrates, mechanical complications, arrhythmia, angina, chronic stable angina, diabetes, chronic kidney disease, mortality, morbidity, elderly, ethics, and contrast nephropathy. Additional searches cross-referenced these topics with the following subtopics: percutaneous coronary intervention, coronary artery bypass graft, cardiac rehabilitation, and secondary prevention. Additionally, the committee reviewed documents related to the subject matter previously published by the ACCF and AHA. References selected and published in this document are representative and not all inclusive.

The focus of this guideline is the management of patients with STEMI. Updates to the 2004 STEMI guideline were published in 2007 and 2009 (5–7). Particular emphasis is placed on advances in reperfusion therapy, organization of regional systems of care, transfer algorithms, evidence-based antithrombotic and medical therapies, and secondary prevention strategies to optimize patient-centered care. By design, the document is narrower in scope than the 2004 STEMI Guideline, in an attempt to provide a more focused tool for practitioners. References related to management guidelines are provided whenever appropriate, including those pertaining to percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), coronary artery bypass graft (CABG), heart failure (HF), cardiac devices, and secondary prevention.

1.2. Organization of the Writing Committee

The writing committee was composed of experts representing cardiovascular medicine, interventional cardiology, electrophysiology, HF, cardiac surgery, emergency medicine, interventional cardiology, emergency medicine, nursing, and pharmacy. The American College of Physicians, American College of Emergency Physicians, and Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions assigned official representatives.

1.3. Document Review and Approval

This document was reviewed by 2 outside reviewers each nominated by the ACCF and the AHA, as well as 2 reviewers each from the American College of Emergency Physicians and Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions and 22 individual content reviewers (including members from the ACCF Interventional Scientific Council and ACCF Surgeons’ Scientific Council). All reviewer RWI information was distributed to the writing committee and is published in this document (Appendix 2).

This document was approved for publication by the governing bodies of the ACCF and the AHA and was endorsed by the American College of Emergency Physicians and Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions.

2. Onset of Myocardial Infarction: Recommendations

2.1. Regional Systems of STEMI Care, Reperfusion Therapy, and Time-to-Treatment Goals

See Figure 1.

CLASS I

1. All communities should create and maintain a regional system of STEMI care that includes assessment and continuous quality improvement of emergency medical services and hospital-based activities. Performance can be facilitated by participating in programs such as Mission: Lifeline and the Door-to-Balloon Alliance (8–11). (Level of Evidence: B)

2. Performance of a 12-lead electrocardiogram (ECG) by emergency medical services personnel at the site of first medical contact (FMC) is recommended in patients with symptoms consistent with STEMI (11–15). (Level of Evidence: B)

3. Reperfusion therapy should be administered to all eligible patients with STEMI with symptom onset within the prior 12 hours (16,17). (Level of Evidence: A)

4. Primary PCI is the recommended method of reperfusion when it can be performed in a timely fashion by experienced operators (17–19). (Level of Evidence: A)

5. Emergency medical services transport directly to a PCI-capable hospital for primary PCI is the recommended triage strategy for patients with STEMI, with an ideal FMC-to-device time system goal of 90 minutes or less* (11,14,15). (Level of Evidence: B)

6. Immediate transfer to a PCI-capable hospital for primary PCI is the recommended triage strategy for patients with STEMI who initially arrive at or are transported to a non-PCI-capable hospital, with an FMC-to-device time system goal of 120 minutes or less* (18–21). (Level of Evidence: B)

7. In the absence of contraindications, fibrinolytic therapy should be administered to patients with STEMI at non-PCI-capable hospitals. (Level of Evidence: B)

* The proposed time windows are system goals. For any individual patient, every effort should be made to provide reperfusion therapy as rapidly as possible.
8. When fibrinolytic therapy is indicated or chosen as the primary reperfusion strategy, it should be administered within 30 minutes of hospital arrival* (24–28). (Level of Evidence: B)

CLASS IIA

1. Reperfusion therapy is reasonable for patients with STEMI and symptom onset within the prior 12 to 24 hours who have clinical and/or ECG evidence of ongoing ischemia. Primary PCI is the preferred strategy in this population (16,29,30). (Level of Evidence: B)

2. Evaluation and Management of Patients With STEMI and Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest

CLASS I

1. Therapeutic hypothermia should be started as soon as possible in comatose patients with STEMI and out-of-hospital cardiac arrest caused by ventricular fibrillation or pulseless ventricular tachycardia, including patients who undergo primary PCI (31–33). (Level of Evidence: B)

2. Immediate angiography and PCI when indicated should be performed in resuscitated out-of-hospital cardiac arrest patients whose initial ECG shows STEMI (34–49). (Level of Evidence: B)

3. Reperfusion at a PCI-Capable Hospital: Recommendations

3.1. Primary PCI in STEMI

See Table 2 for a summary of recommendations from this section.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>COR</th>
<th>LOE</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ischemic symptoms &lt;12 h</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>(17,50,51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemic symptoms &lt;12 h and contraindications to fibrinolytic therapy irrespective of time delay from FMC</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>(52,53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiogenic shock or acute severe HF irrespective of time delay from MI onset</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>(54–57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence of ongoing ischemia 12 to 24 h after symptom onset</td>
<td>III, Harm</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>(29,30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI of a noninfarct artery at the time of primary PCI in patients without hemodynamic compromise</td>
<td>III, Harm</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>(58–60)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

COR indicates Class of Recommendation; FMC, first medical contact; HF, heart failure; LOE, Level of Evidence; MI, myocardial infarction; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; and STEMI, ST-elevation myocardial infarction.
3. A loading dose of a P2Y12 receptor inhibitor should be given as follows:

- a. Clopidogrel 600 mg (76,81,82) (Level of Evidence: B); or
- b. Prasugrel 60 mg (83) (Level of Evidence: B); or
- c. Ticagrelor 180 mg (84). (Level of Evidence: B)

4. P2Y12 inhibitor therapy should be given for 1 year to patients with STEMI who receive a stent (bare-metal or drug-eluting) during primary PCI using the following maintenance doses:

- a. Clopidogrel 75 mg daily (83,85) (Level of Evidence: B); or
- b. Prasugrel 10 mg daily (85) (Level of Evidence: B); or
- c. Ticagrelor 90 mg twice a day (84).1 (Level of Evidence: B)

CLASS I

1. Primary PCI should be performed in patients with STEMI and ischemic symptoms of less than 12 hours’ duration (17,50,51). (Level of Evidence: A)

2. Primary PCI should be performed in patients with STEMI and ischemic symptoms of less than 12 hours’ duration who have contraindications to fibrinolytic therapy, irrespective of the time delay from FMC (52,53). (Level of Evidence: B)

3. Primary PCI should be performed in patients with STEMI and cardiogenic shock or acute severe HF, irrespective of time delay from myocardial infarction (MI) onset (Section 8.1) (54–57). (Level of Evidence: B)

CLASS IIa

1. Primary PCI is reasonable in patients with STEMI if there is clinical and/or ECG evidence of ongoing ischemia between 12 and 24 hours after symptom onset (29,30). (Level of Evidence: B)

CLASS III: HARM

1. PCI should not be performed in a noninfarct artery at the time of primary PCI in patients with STEMI who are hemodynamically stable (58–60). (Level of Evidence: B)

3.2. Aspiration Thrombectomy

CLASS IIa

1. Manual aspiration thrombectomy is reasonable for patients undergoing primary PCI (63–64). (Level of Evidence: B)

3.3. Use of Stents in Patients With STEMI

CLASS I

1. Placement of a stent (bare-metal stent or drug-eluting stent) is useful in primary PCI for patients with STEMI (65,66). (Level of Evidence: A)

2. Bare-metal stents† should be used in patients with high bleeding risk, inability to comply with 1 year of dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT), or anticipated invasive or surgical procedures in the next year. (Level of Evidence: C)

CLASS III: HARM

1. Drug-eluting stents should not be used in primary PCI for patients with STEMI who are unable to tolerate or comply with a prolonged course of DAPT because of the increased risk of stent thrombosis with premature discontinuation of one or both agents (67–73). (Level of Evidence: B)

3.4. Antiplatelet Therapy to Support Primary PCI for STEMI

See Table 3 for a summary of recommendations from this section.

CLASS I

1. Aspirin 162 to 325 mg should be given before primary PCI (74–76). (Level of Evidence: B)

2. After PCI, aspirin should be continued indefinitely (77,78,80). (Level of Evidence: A)

3. A loading dose of a P2Y12 receptor inhibitor should be given as early as possible or at time of primary PCI to patients with STEMI. Options include

†Balloon angioplasty without stent placement may be used in selected patients.

CLASS IIa

1. In patients with STEMI undergoing PCI who are at high risk of bleeding, it is reasonable to use bivalirudin monotherapy in

‡The recommended maintenance dose of aspirin to be used with ticagrelor is 81 mg daily.
Table 3. Adjunctive Antithrombotic Therapy to Support Reperfusion With Primary PCI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antiplatelet therapy</th>
<th>COR</th>
<th>LOE</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aspirin</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162- to 325-mg load before procedure</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>(74–76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81- to 325-mg daily maintenance dose (indefinite)*</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>(77,78,80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81 mg daily is the preferred maintenance dose*</td>
<td>Ila</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>(76,77,86,87)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**P2Y<sub>12</sub> Inhibitors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loading doses</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clopidogrel: 600 mg as early as possible or at time of PCI</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>(76,81,82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prasugrel: 60 mg as early as possible or at time of PCI</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>(83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ticagrelor: 180 mg as early as possible or at time of PCI</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>(84)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maintenance doses and duration of therapy</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DES placed: Continue therapy for 1 y with:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clopidogrel: 75 mg daily</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>(83,85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prasugrel: 10 mg daily</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>(85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ticagrelor: 90 mg twice a day*</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>(84)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| BMS placed: Continue therapy for 1 y with: |     |     |            |
| Clopidogrel: 75 mg daily | I   | B   | (83,85)   |
| Prasugrel: 10 mg daily | I   | B   | (85)      |
| Ticagrelor: 90 mg twice a day* | I   | B   | (84)      |

| DES placed: |     |     |            |
| Clopidogrel, prasugrel, or ticagrelor* continued beyond 1 y | Ila | C   | N/A       |
| Patients with STEMI with prior stroke or TIA: prasugrel | III: Harm | B | (83) |

**IV GP IIb/IIIa receptor antagonists in conjunction with UFH or bivalirudin in selected patients**

| Abciximab: 0.25-mg/kg IV bolus, then 0.125 mcg/kg/min (maximum 10 mcg/min) | Ila | A   | (88–90)   |
| Tirofiban: (high-bolus dose): 25-mcg/kg IV bolus, then 0.15 mcg/kg/min | Ila | B   | (91,92)   |
| In patients with CrCl <30 mL/min, reduce infusion by 50% |     |     |            |
| Eptifibatide: (double bolus): 180-mcg/kg IV bolus, then 2 mcg/kg/min; a second 180-mcg/kg bolus is administered 10 min after the first bolus | Ila | B   | (93)      |
| In patients with CrCl <50 mL/min, reduce infusion by 50% |     |     |            |
| Avoid in patients on hemodialysis |     |     |            |
| Pre–catheterization laboratory administration of intravenous GP IIb/IIIa receptor antagonist | Iib | B   | (91,94–101) |
| Intracoronary abciximab 0.25-mg/kg bolus | Iib | B   | (64,102–108) |

**Anticoagulant therapy**

| UFH: |     |     |            |
| With GP IIb/IIIa receptor antagonist planned: 50- to 70-U/kg IV bolus to achieve therapeutic ACT‡ | I   | C   | N/A       |
| With no GP IIb/IIIa receptor antagonist planned: 70- to 100-U/kg bolus to achieve therapeutic ACT§ | I   | C   | N/A       |
| Bivalirudin: 0.75-mg/kg IV bolus, then 1.75-mg/kg/h infusion with or without prior treatment with UFH. An additional bolus of 0.3 mg/kg can be given if needed. | I   | B   | (109)     |
| Reduce infusion to 1 mg/kg/h with estimated CrCl <30 mL/min |     |     |            |
| Preferred over UFH with GP IIb/IIIa receptor antagonist in patients at high risk of bleeding | Ila | B   | (109)     |
| Fondaparinux: Not recommended as sole anticoagulant for primary PCI | III: Harm | B | (110) |

ACT indicates activated clotting time; BMS, bare-metal stent; CrCl, creatinine clearance; COR, Class of Recommendation; DES, drug-eluting stent; GP, glycoprotein; IV, intravenous; LOE, Level of Evidence; N/A, not available; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; STEMI, ST-elevation myocardial infarction; TIA, transient ischemic attack; and UFH, unfractionated heparin.

*The recommended maintenance dose of aspirin to be used with ticagrelor is 81 mg daily.

†Balloon angioplasty without stent placement may be used in selected patients. It might be reasonable to provide P2Y<sub>12</sub> Inhibitor therapy to patients with STEMI undergoing balloon angioplasty alone according to the recommendations listed for BMS. (LOE: C)

‡The recommended ACT with planned GP IIb/IIIa receptor antagonist treatment is 200 to 250 s.

§The recommended ACT with no planned GP IIb/IIIa receptor antagonist treatment is 250 to 300 s (HemoTec device) or 300 to 350 s (Hemochron device).
4.1. Fibrinolytic Therapy When There Is an Anticipated Delay to Performing Primary PCI Within 120 Minutes of FMC

See Table 4 for a summary of recommendations from this section.

CLASS I
1. In the absence of contraindications, fibrinolytic therapy should be given to patients with STEMI and onset of ischemic symptoms within the previous 12 hours when it is anticipated that primary PCI cannot be performed within 120 minutes of FMC (16,111–116). (Level of Evidence: A)

CLASS IIa
1. Fibrinolytic therapy should not be used as the sole anticoagulant to support primary PCI because of the risk of catheter thrombosis (110). (Level of Evidence: B)

CLASS III: HARM
1. Fondaparinux should not be used as the sole anticoagulant to support primary PCI because of the risk of catheter thrombosis (110). (Level of Evidence: B)

4.2. Adjunctive Antithrombotic Therapy With Fibrinolysis

See Table 5 for a summary of recommendations from this section.

4.2.1. Adjunctive Antiplatelet Therapy With Fibrinolysis

CLASS I
1. Aspirin (162- to 325-mg loading dose) and clopidogrel (300-mg loading dose for patients <75 years of age, 75-mg dose for patients >75 years of age) should be administered to patients with STEMI who receive fibrinolytic therapy (113,121,122). (Level of Evidence: A)

CLASS IIa
2. Aspirin should be continued indefinitely (113,121,122) (Level of Evidence: A) and clopidogrel (75 mg daily) should be continued for at least 14 days (121,122) (Level of Evidence: A) and up to 1 year (Level of Evidence: C) in patients with STEMI who receive fibrinolytic therapy.

4.2.2. Adjunctive Anticoagulant Therapy With Fibrinolysis

CLASS I
1. It is reasonable to use aspirin 81 mg per day in preference to higher maintenance doses after fibrinolytic therapy (77,80,86,87). (Level of Evidence: B)

4.3. Transfer to a PCI-Capable Hospital After Fibrinolytic Therapy

4.3.1. Transfer of Patients With STEMI to a PCI-Capable Hospital for Coronary Angiography

See Table 6 for a summary of recommendations from this section; Online Data Supplement 4 for additional data on early catheterization and rescue PCI for fibrinolytic failure in the stent era; and Online Data Supplement 5 for additional data on early catheterization and PCI after fibrinolysis in the stent era.

CLASS I
1. Immediate transfer to a PCI-capable hospital for coronary angiography is recommended for suitable patients with STEMI who develop cardiogenic shock or acute severe HF, irrespective of the time delay from MI onset (128). (Level of Evidence: B)
1. Urgent transfer to a PCI-capable hospital for coronary angiography is reasonable for patients with STEMI who demonstrate evidence of failed reperfusion or reocclusion after fibrinolytic therapy (129–132). (Level of Evidence: B)

2. Transfer to a PCI-capable hospital for coronary angiography is reasonable for patients with STEMI who have received fibrinolytic therapy even when hemodynamically stable§ and with clinical evidence of successful reperfusion. Angiography can be performed as soon as logistically feasible at the receiving hospital, and ideally within 24 hours, but should not be performed within the first 2 to 3 hours after administration of fibrinolytic therapy (133–138). (Level of Evidence: B)

5. Delayed Invasive Management: Recommendations

5.1. Coronary Angiography in Patients Who Initially Were Managed With Fibrinolytic Therapy or Who Did Not Receive Reperfusion
See Table 7 for a summary of recommendations from this section.

---

**Table 5. Adjunctive Antithrombotic Therapy to Support Reperfusion With Fibrinolytic Therapy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Therapy</th>
<th>Loading Dose</th>
<th>Maintenance Dose</th>
<th>Subcutaneous Dose</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antiplatelet Therapy</td>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td>162- to 325-mg loading dose</td>
<td>81- to 325-mg daily maintenance dose (indefinite)</td>
<td>81 mg daily is the preferred maintenance dose</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2Y12 receptor inhibitors</td>
<td>Clopidogrel</td>
<td>Followed by 75 mg daily for at least 14 d and up to 1 y in absence of bleeding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Age ≤75 y: 300-mg loading dose</td>
<td>Followed by 75 mg daily for at least 14 d and up to 1 y in absence of bleeding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Age &gt;75 y: no loading dose, give 75 mg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Followed by 75 mg daily for at least 14 d and up to 1 y in absence of bleeding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C (up to 1 y)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticoagulant Therapy</td>
<td>UFH:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Weight-based IV bolus and infusion adjusted to obtain aPTT of 1.5 to 2.0 times control for 48 h or until revascularization. IV bolus of 60 U/kg (maximum 4000 U) followed by an infusion of 12 U/kg/h (maximum 1000 U) initially, adjusted to maintain aPTT at 1.5 to 2.0 times control (approximately 50 to 70 s) for 48 h or until revascularization.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Enoxaparin:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>If age &lt;75 y: 30-mg IV bolus, followed in 15 min by 1 mg/kg subcutaneously every 12 h (maximum 100 mg for the first 2 doses)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>If age ≥75 y: no bolus, 0.75 mg/kg subcutaneously every 12 h (maximum 75 mg for the first 2 doses)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Regardless of age, if CrCl &lt;30 mL/min: 1 mg/kg subcutaneously every 24 h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Duration: For the index hospitalization, up to 8 d or until revascularization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fondaparinux:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Initial dose 2.5 mg N, then 2.5 mg subcutaneously daily starting the following day, for the index hospitalization up to 8 d or until revascularization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Contraindicated if CrCl &lt;30 mL/min</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aPTT indicates activated partial thromboplastin time; COR, Class of Recommendation; CrCl, creatinine clearance; IV, intravenous; LOE, Level of Evidence; N/A, not available; and UFH, unfractionated heparin.

---

**Table 6. Indications for Transfer for Angiography After Fibrinolytic Therapy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COR</th>
<th>LOE</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>(126)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ila</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>(129–132)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ila</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>(133–138)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

COR indicates Class of Recommendation; HF, heart failure; LOE, Level of Evidence; MI, myocardial infarction; N/A, not available; and PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention.

*Although individual circumstances will vary, clinical stability is defined by the absence of low output, hypotension, persistent tachycardia, apparent shock, high-grade ventricular or symptomatic supraventricular tachyarrhythmias, and spontaneous recurrent ischemia.
1. Cardiac catheterization and coronary angiography with intent to perform revascularization should be performed after STEMI in patients with any of the following:
   a. Cardiogenic shock or acute severe HF that develops after initial presentation (57,128,139,140) (Level of Evidence: B);
   b. Intermediate- or high-risk findings on predischARGE noninvasive ischemia testing (141,142) (Level of Evidence: B); or
   c. Myocardial ischemia that is spontaneous or provoked by minimal exertion during hospitalization. (Level of Evidence: C)

**CLASS IIa**

1. Coronary angiography with intent to perform revascularization is reasonable for patients with evidence of failed reperfusion or reocclusion after fibrinolytic therapy. Angiography can be performed as soon as logistically feasible (129–132). (Level of Evidence: B)

2. Coronary angiography is reasonable before hospital discharge in stable* patients with STEMI after successful fibrinolytic therapy. Angiography can be performed as soon as logistically feasible, and ideally within 24 hours, but should not be performed within the first 2 to 3 hours after administration of fibrinolytic therapy (133–138,143). (Level of Evidence: B)

### 5.2. PCI of an Infarct Artery in Patients Who Initially Were Managed With Fibrinolytic or Who Did Not Receive Reperfusion Therapy

See Table 8 for a summary of recommendations from this section.

**CLASS I**

1. PCI of an anatomically significant stenosis in the infarct artery should be performed in patients with suitable anatomy and any of the following:
   a. Cardiogenic shock or acute severe HF (128) (Level of Evidence: B);

Table 7. Indications for Coronary Angiography in Patients Who Were Managed With Fibrinolytic Therapy or Who Did Not Receive Reperfusion Therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COR</th>
<th>LOE</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>(57,128, 139,140)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>(141,142)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>(129–132)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>(133–138,143)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CLASS IIa**

1. Delayed PCI is reasonable in patients with STEMI and evidence of failed reperfusion or reocclusion after fibrinolytic therapy. PCI can be performed as soon as logistically feasible at the receiving hospital (130,130a–130c) (Level of Evidence: B)

2. Delayed PCI of a significant stenosis in a patent infarct artery is reasonable in stable* patients with STEMI after fibrinolytic therapy. PCI can be performed as soon as logistically feasible at the receiving hospital, and ideally within 24 hours, but should not be performed within the first 2 to 3 hours after administration of fibrinolytic therapy (133–138). (Level of Evidence: B)

**CLASS IIb**

1. Delayed PCI of a significant stenosis in a patent infarct artery greater than 24 hours after STEMI may be considered as part of an invasive strategy in stable* patients (55,141–148). (Level of Evidence: B)

**CLASS III: NO BENEFIT**

1. Delayed PCI of a totally occluded infarct artery greater than 24 hours after STEMI should not be performed in asymptomatic patients with 1- or 2-vessel disease if they are hemodynamically and electrically stable and do not have evidence of severe ischemia (55,146). (Level of Evidence: B)

*Although individual circumstances will vary, clinical stability is defined by the absence of low output, hypotension, persistent tachycardia, apparent shock, high-grade ventricular or symptomatic supraventricular tachyarrhythmias, and spontaneous recurrent ischemia.
5.3. PCI of a Noninfarct Artery Before Hospital Discharge

CLASS I

1. PCI is indicated in a noninfarct artery at a time separate from primary PCI in patients who have spontaneous symptoms of myocardial ischemia. (Level of Evidence: C)

CLASS IIa

1. PCI is reasonable in a noninfarct artery at a time separate from primary PCI in patients with intermediate- or high-risk findings on noninvasive testing (58,141,142). (Level of Evidence: B)

5.4. Adjunctive Antithrombotic Therapy to Support Delayed PCI After Fibrinolytic Therapy

See Table 9 for a summary of recommendations from this section.

Table 9. Adjunctive Antithrombotic Therapy to Support PCI After Fibrinolytic Therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antiplatelet Therapy</th>
<th>COR</th>
<th>LOE</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aspirin</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● 162- to 325-mg loading dose given with fibrinolytic agent (before PCI). See Section 4.2.1 and Table 5.</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>(113,121,122)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● 81- to 325-mg daily maintenance dose after PCI (indefinite)</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>(76,77,80,82,121,122)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● 81 mg daily is the preferred daily maintenance dose</td>
<td>IIa</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>(76,82,86,87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>P2Y₁₂ receptor inhibitors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loading doses For patients who received a loading dose of clopidogrel with fibrinolytic therapy:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Continue clopidogrel 75 mg daily without an additional loading dose</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>(83,85,121,122)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For patients who have not received a loading dose of clopidogrel:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● If PCI is performed ≤24 h after fibrinolytic therapy: clopidogrel 300-mg loading dose before or at the time of PCI</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● If PCI is performed &gt;24 h after fibrinolytic therapy: clopidogrel 600-mg loading dose before or at the time of PCI</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● If PCI is performed &gt;24 h after treatment with a fibrin-specific agent or &gt;48 h after a non–fibrin-specific agent: prasugrel 60 mg at the time of PCI</td>
<td>IIa</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>(83,85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For patients with prior stroke/TIA: prasugrel</td>
<td>III: Harm</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>(83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance doses and duration of therapy DES placed: Continue therapy for at least 1 y with:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Clopidogrel: 75 mg daily</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>(83,85,121,122)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Prasugrel: 10 mg daily</td>
<td>IIa</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>(83,85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMS* placed: Continue therapy for at least 30 d and up to 1 y with:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Clopidogrel: 75 mg daily</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>(121,122)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Prasugrel: 10 mg daily</td>
<td>IIa</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>(83,85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anticoagulant Therapy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continue UFH through PCI, administering additional IV boluses as needed to maintain therapeutic ACT depending on use of GP IIb/IIIa receptor antagonist†</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continue enoxaparin through PCI:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● No additional drug if last dose was within previous 8 h</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>(127,149)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● 0.3-mg/kg IV bolus if last dose was 8 to 12 h earlier</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>(127,149)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fondaparinux:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● As sole anticoagulant for PCI</td>
<td>III: Harm</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>(110)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACT indicates activated clotting time; BMS, bare-metal stent; COR, Class of Recommendation; DES, drug-eluting stent; GP, glycoprotein; IV, intravenous; LOE, Level of Evidence; N/A, not available; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; TIA, transient ischemic attack; and UFH, unfractionated heparin.

*Balloon angioplasty without stent placement may be used in selected patients. It might be reasonable to provide P2Y₁₂ inhibitor therapy to patients with STEMI undergoing balloon angioplasty after fibrinolysis alone according to the recommendations listed for BMS. (Level of Evidence: C)

†The recommended ACT with no planned GP IIb/IIIa receptor antagonist treatment is 250–300 s (HemoTec device) or 300–350 s (Hemochron device).

5.4.1. Antiplatelet Therapy to Support PCI After Fibrinolytic Therapy

CLASS I

1. After PCI, aspirin should be continued indefinitely (76,77,80,82,121,122). (Level of Evidence: A)

2. Clopidogrel should be provided as follows:
   a. A 300-mg loading dose should be given before or at the time of PCI to patients who did not receive a previous loading dose and who are undergoing PCI within 24 hours of receiving fibrinolytic therapy (Level of Evidence: C); and
   b. A 600-mg loading dose should be given before or at the time of PCI to patients who did not receive a previous loading dose and who are undergoing PCI more than 24 hours after receiving fibrinolytic therapy (Level of Evidence: C); and
   c. A dose of 75 mg daily should be given after PCI (83,85,121,122). (Level of Evidence: C)
2. For patients with STEMI undergoing PCI after receiving fibrinolytic therapy, it is reasonable to use 81 mg of aspirin per day in preference to higher maintenance doses (76,82,86,87). (Level of Evidence: B)

2. Prasugrel, in a 60-mg loading dose, is reasonable once the coronary anatomy is known in patients who did not receive a previous loading dose of clopidogrel at the time of administration of a fibrinolytic agent, but prasugrel should not be given sooner than 24 hours after administration of a fibrin-specific agent or 48 hours after administration of a non-fibrin-specific agent (83,85). (Level of Evidence: B)

3. Prasugrel, in a 10-mg daily maintenance dose, is reasonable after PCI (83,85). (Level of Evidence: B)

**CLASS III: HARM**

1. Prasugrel should not be administered to patients with a history of prior stroke or transient ischemic attack (83). (Level of Evidence: B)

**5.4.2. Anticoagulant Therapy to Support PCI After Fibrinolytic Therapy**

**CLASS I**

1. For patients with STEMI undergoing PCI after receiving fibrinolytic therapy with intravenous UFH, additional boluses of intravenous UFH should be administered as needed to support the procedure, taking into account whether GP IIb/IIIa receptor antagonists have been administered. (Level of Evidence: C)

2. For patients with STEMI undergoing PCI after receiving fibrinolytic therapy with enoxaparin, if the last subcutaneous dose was administered within the prior 8 hours, no additional enoxaparin should be given; if the last subcutaneous dose was administered between 8 and 12 hours earlier, enoxaparin 0.3 mg/kg IV should be given (127,149). (Level of Evidence: B)

**CLASS III: HARM**

1. Fondaparinux should not be used as the sole anticoagulant to support PCI. An additional anticoagulant with anti-IIa activity should be administered because of the risk of catheter thrombosis (110). (Level of Evidence: C)

**6. Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery: Recommendations**

**6.1. CABG in Patients With STEMI**

**CLASS I**

1. Urgent CABG is indicated in patients with STEMI and coronary anatomy not amenable to PCI who have ongoing or recurrent ischemia, cardiogenic shock, severe HF, or other high-risk features (150–152). (Level of Evidence: B)

2. CABG is recommended in patients with STEMI at time of operative repair of mechanical defects (153–157). (Level of Evidence: B)

**CLASS IIa**

1. The use of mechanical circulatory support is reasonable in patients with STEMI who are hemodynamically unstable and require urgent CABG. (Level of Evidence: C)

**CLASS IIb**

1. Emergency CABG within 6 hours of symptom onset may be considered in patients with STEMI who do not have cardiogenic shock and are not candidates for PCI or fibrinolytic therapy. (Level of Evidence: C)

**6.2. Timing of Urgent CABG in Patients With STEMI in Relation to Use of Antiplatelet Agents**

**CLASS I**

1. Aspirin should not be withheld before urgent CABG (158). (Level of Evidence: C)

2. Clopidogrel or ticagrelor should be discontinued at least 24 hours before urgent on-pump CABG, if possible (159–163). (Level of Evidence: B)

3. Short-acting intravenous GP IIb/IIIa receptor antagonists (epifibatide, tirofiban) should be discontinued at least 2 to 4 hours before urgent CABG (164,165). (Level of Evidence: B)

4. Abciximab should be discontinued at least 12 hours before urgent CABG (137). (Level of Evidence: B)

**CLASS IIb**

1. Urgent off-pump CABG within 24 hours of clopidogrel or ticagrelor administration might be considered, especially if the benefits of prompt revascularization outweigh the risks of bleeding (160,166–168). (Level of Evidence: B)

2. Urgent CABG within 5 days of clopidogrel or ticagrelor administration or within 7 days of prasugrel administration might be considered, especially if the benefits of prompt revascularization outweigh the risks of bleeding. (Level of Evidence: C)

**7. Routine Medical Therapies: Recommendations**

**7.1. Beta Blockers**

**CLASS I**

1. Oral beta blockers should be initiated in the first 24 hours in patients with STEMI who do not have any of the following: signs of HF, evidence of a low-output state, increased risk for cardiogenic shock, or other contraindications to use of oral beta blockers (PR interval more than 0.24 seconds, second- or third-degree heart block, active asthma, or reactive airways disease) (169–171). (Level of Evidence: B)

2. Beta blockers should be continued during and after hospitalization for all patients with STEMI and with no contraindications to their use (172,173). (Level of Evidence: B)

3. Patients with initial contraindications to the use of beta blockers in the first 24 hours after STEMI should be reevaluated to determine their subsequent eligibility. (Level of Evidence: C)

**CLASS IIa**

1. It is reasonable to administer intravenous beta blockers at the time of presentation to patients with STEMI and no contrain-
7.2. Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone System Inhibitors

CLASS I
1. An angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor should be administered within the first 24 hours to all patients with STEMI with anterior location, HF, or ejection fraction less than or equal to 0.40, unless contraindicated (174–177). (Level of Evidence: A)
2. An angiotensin receptor blocker should be given to patients with STEMI who have indications for but are intolerant of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (178,179). (Level of Evidence: B)
3. An aldosterone antagonist should be given to patients with STEMI and no contraindications who are already receiving an angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor and beta blocker and who have an ejection fraction less than or equal to 0.40 and either symptomatic HF or diabetes mellitus (180). (Level of Evidence: B)

CLASS IIa
1. Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors are reasonable for all patients with STEMI and no contraindications to their use (181–183). (Level of Evidence: A)

7.3. Lipid Management

CLASS I
1. High-intensity statin therapy should be initiated or continued in all patients with STEMI and no contraindications to its use (184,188,189). (Level of Evidence: B)

CLASS IIa
1. It is reasonable to obtain a fasting lipid profile in patients with STEMI, preferably within 24 hours of presentation. (Level of Evidence: C)

8. Complications After STEMI: Recommendations

8.1. Treatment of Cardiogenic Shock

CLASS I
1. Emergency revascularization with either PCI or CABG is recommended in suitable patients with cardiogenic shock due to pump failure after STEMI irrespective of the time delay from MI onset (54,190,191). (Level of Evidence: B)
2. In the absence of contraindications, fibrinolytic therapy should be administered to patients with STEMI and cardiogenic shock who are unsuitable candidates for either PCI or CABG (16,192,193). (Level of Evidence: B)

CLASS IIa
1. The use of intra-aortic balloon pump counterpulsation can be useful for patients with cardiogenic shock after STEMI who do not quickly stabilize with pharmacological therapy (194–197,197a). (Level of Evidence: B)

CLASS III: HARM
1. Alternative left ventricular (LV) assist devices for circulatory support may be considered in patients with refractory cardiogenic shock. (Level of Evidence: C)

8.2. Implantable Cardioverter-Defibrillator Therapy Before Discharge

CLASS I
1. Implantable cardioverter-defibrillator therapy is indicated before discharge in patients who develop sustained ventricular tachycardia/ventricular fibrillation more than 48 hours after STEMI, provided the arrhythmia is not due to transient or reversible ischemia, reinfarction, or metabolic abnormalities (198–200). (Level of Evidence: B)

8.3. Pacing in STEMI

CLASS I
1. Temporary pacing is indicated for symptomatic bradyarrhythmias unresponsive to medical treatment. (Level of Evidence: C)

8.4. Management of Pericarditis After STEMI

CLASS I
1. Aspirin is recommended for treatment of pericarditis after STEMI (201). (Level of Evidence: B)

CLASS IIa
1. Administration of acetaminophen, colchicine, or narcotic analgesics may be reasonable if aspirin, even in higher doses, is not effective. (Level of Evidence: C)

CLASS III: HARM
1. Glucocorticoids and nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs are potentially harmful for treatment of pericarditis after STEMI (202,203). (Level of Evidence: B)

8.5. Anticoagulation

CLASS I
1. Anticoagulant therapy with a vitamin K antagonist should be provided to patients with STEMI and atrial fibrillation with CHADS2* score greater than or equal to 2, mechanical heart valves, venous thromboembolism, or hypercoagulable disorder. (Level of Evidence: C)
2. The duration of triple-antithrombotic therapy with a vitamin K antagonist, aspirin, and a P2Y₁₂ receptor inhibitor should be

---

*These recommendations apply to patients who receive intracoronary stents during PCI for STEMI. Among individuals with STEMI who do not receive an intracoronary stent, the duration of DAPT beyond 14 days has not been studied adequately for patients who undergo balloon angioplasty alone, are treated with fibrinolysis alone, or do not receive reperfusion therapy. In this subset of patients with STEMI who do not receive an intracoronary stent, the threshold for initiation of oral anticoagulation for secondary prevention, either alone or in combination with aspirin, may be lower, especially if a shorter duration (i.e., 14 days) of DAPT is planned (204).

#CHADS2 (Congestive heart failure, Hypertension, Age ≥75 years, Diabetes mellitus, previous Stroke/transient ischemic attack [doubled risk weight]) score.
2. Targeting vitamin K antagonist therapy to a lower international normalized ratio (e.g., 2.0 to 2.5) might be considered in patients with STEMI who are receiving DAPT. (Level of Evidence: C)

CLASS IIa

1. Anticoagulant therapy with a vitamin K antagonist is reasonable for patients with STEMI and asymptomatic LV mural thrombi. (Level of Evidence: C)

CLASS IIa

1. Anticoagulant therapy may be considered for patients with STEMI and anterior apical akinesis or dyskinesis. (Level of Evidence: C)

2. Targeting vitamin K antagonist therapy to a lower international normalized ratio (e.g., 2.0 to 2.5) might be considered in patients with STEMI who are receiving DAPT. (Level of Evidence: C)

9. Risk Assessment After STEMI: Recommendations

9.1. Use of Noninvasive Testing for Ischemia Before Discharge

CLASS I

1. Noninvasive testing for ischemia should be performed before discharge to assess the presence and extent of inducible ischemia in patients with STEMI who have not had coronary angiography and do not have high-risk clinical features for which coronary angiography would be warranted (209–211). (Level of Evidence: B)

CLASS IIa

1. Noninvasive testing for ischemia might be considered before discharge to evaluate the functional significance of a non–left anterior descending artery stenosis previously identified at angiography. (Level of Evidence: C)

2. Noninvasive testing for ischemia might be considered before discharge to guide the postdischarge exercise prescription. (Level of Evidence: C)

9.2. Assessment of LV Function

CLASS I

1. LV ejection fraction should be measured in all patients with STEMI. (Level of Evidence: C)

9.3. Assessment of Risk for Sudden Cardiac Death

CLASS I

1. Patients with an initially reduced LV ejection fraction who are possible candidates for implantable cardioverter-defibrillator therapy should undergo reevaluation of LV ejection fraction 40 or more days after discharge (212–215). (Level of Evidence: B)

10. Posthospitalization Plan of Care: Recommendations

CLASS I

1. Posthospital systems of care designed to prevent hospital readmissions should be used to facilitate the transition to effective, coordinated outpatient care for all patients with STEMI (216–220). (Level of Evidence: B)

2. Exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation/secondary prevention programs are recommended for patients with STEMI (221–224). (Level of Evidence: B)

3. A clear, detailed, and evidence-based plan of care that promotes medication adherence, timely follow-up with the healthcare team, appropriate dietary and physical activities, and compliance with interventions for secondary prevention should be provided to patients with STEMI. (Level of Evidence: C)

4. Encouragement and advice to stop smoking and to avoid secondhand smoke should be provided to patients with STEMI (225–228). (Level of Evidence: A)79,185–187
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